(See C. J. Vol. 9, Page 76)

St. Clairsville, Ohio, September 6th, 1905

The County Commissioners met in Regular session and the report of the viewers in in favor of the establishment of said road was on December 7th, 1904, publicly read for the third time, and said viewers having filed a separate report in writing of the amount of Compensation and Damages which they have assessed to land owners by reason of the location of said road through their several premises, which report was also publicly read The Board being of the opinion that further investigation was necessary final action was deferred, subject to the call of this Board. It appearing to the Board that proper and legal notice had not been given to the Gabel Heirs of the time of holding the view as fixed for the 10th day of November, 1904, the Board at the session held July 31, 1905 directed that the viewers be notified to meet again at the home of James J. Baker on the 8th day of August 1905, and further directed them, provided notice had been given the Gabel Heirs, at least 6 days prior to date of meeting, to award such Compensation and Damages as in their judgment the facts may warrant, and to make amended report to this Board at as early a date as possible. The said viewers having filed amended report under the order of July 31, 1905, and the Board being of the opinion that the Compensation and damages awarded by said biewers in their original and amended reports, to the several claimants, to-wit:-

	The Belmont Coal Mining Co.,	Compensation	\$60.00	Damages	\$12.00
34	Samuel McGregor	in a	110.00	201	
Ø	Margaret Hess	. "	18.00	n :	15.00
	Newton Davis	U.	19.00		30.00
	R. J. Johnson	E (II)	142.00	ď	es parametro
	Lewis Gabel	, and	20.00	0.00	20.00
	Gabel "Heirs"	Str 20	10.00	x v ,	STATE OF STA

are reasonable and just; that said road will be of public utility and of sufficient importance to the public to justify the payment of said Compensation and Damages out of the County Treasury, and no petition for review having been filed it is ordered that thes several reports of the viewers with the survey and plat of said established road be recorded and that said road be and the same is hereby established a public highway 30 feet in width, that the County Auditor issue the necessary order to the trustees of Richland and Pultney Townships, to open said road according to law.

				Š.	Robert McMillen	
_	ATTEST:	8	Ŏ X	3	J. W. Martin	Commissioners.
-	A. W. Beatty,	¥	ğ		A. G. Holloway.	

In the foregoing entitled matter, Louis Gabel did on the 16th day of September, 1905 file his bond, appealing from the final decision of the commissioners, but failed to have the proceedings necessary in the probate Court, regular, and the same was dismissed by the said Probate Court, without any effect on the regular proceedings of the County Commissioners.

A. W. Beatty, County Auditor.

And afterwards on the 7th day of October, 1905 proceedings were had in said Court and entered upon the Journal in the words and figures following, to-wit:- James J. A transcritp Road Appeal. October 7th, 1905, Baker et al, vs. Louis Gabel et al: of the records of the County Commissioners of this County, duly certified in the matter of the establishment of a County Road on the petition of James J. Baker et al, and the original papers therein, were this day received from the Auditor of this County, in pursuance of the appeal of the defendant, Louis Gabel, from the final order of the Commissioners, estab-It is therefore by the Court Ordered, that said cause be set for lishing said road. hearing on the 23rd day of October, 1905, at 9 o'clock A. M., and this Cause is Continuec. And afterwards on the 23rd. day of October, 1905, John S. Cochran, Probate Judge. proceedings were had in said court and entered upon the journal in the words and figures October. 23, 1905. following, to-wit: - James J. Baker et al. vs. Louis Gabel, et al. On Motion this cause is continued to the 26th day of October, 1905 at Road' Appeal. John S. Cochran, Probate Judge 9 o! clock A. M.

. And afterwards on the 26th day of October, 1905, proceedings were had in said court and entered upon the journal, in the words and figures, following to-wit:- . James J. Road Appeal. This day this Baker et al, vs. Louis Gabel, et al. October, 26th, 1905. cause came on to be heard upon the appeal from the final decision of the Commissioners of Belmont County, in relation to the establishment of a county road on petition of James And the Court finds upon hearing and inspecting that the proceeding had J. Baker et al. and before the commissioners, previous to the appeal, were in substance regular and legal. and no exceptions being taken by an claimant of damages to the assessment returned to said commissioners, said proceedings are afrirmed and it is ordered that the appellant pay all costs, that have been or ated by the appeal, an it is further ordred that a copy of this finding with the papers in the case be certified to the County Auditor. John S. Cochran I, John S. Cochran, Judge The State of Ohio, Belmont County, ss. Probate Judge. and ex-officio Clerk of the Probate Court, in and for said County, do hereby certify the foregoing is a true and complete transcript of the proceedings had in said court in the foregoing matter, as the same appears upon the records of said court. whereof, I have hereunto set my signature, and the seal of said court, this 26th day of John S Cochran, Probate Judge, (Seal) October, A. D. 1905.

on Johnson's land thence S. 62° 45' W. 7.54 poles to station No. 82 near run; thence N. 9° 44' W. 11.62 poles to station No. 83 up a run near shed; thence N. 31° 50' W. 4.13 poles to station No. 84; thence N. 48° 45' W. 25.30 poles to station No. 85 across a run to a point on Hillside; thence N. 36° 15' W. 9.28 Poles to station No. 86 on Johnson's Land on Hillside; thence N. 17° 51' W 25.90 poles to station No. 87, 14 links from -Johnson's and Baker's line; thence N. 19° 53' W. 5.88-poles to-station -88-on-James J.-Baker's land; thence N. 21° 34' W. 17.12 poles to station No.889 on Baker's land on Hill side; thence N. 13° 48' W. 15.12 pol s'to station No. 90 on Bakers Land, on hillside; thence N. 10° 46' W 16.76 poles to station No. 91 in Bottom on James Baker's land; thence N. 12° 08' W. 10 poles to station No. 92; thence N. 22° 33' W. 15.08 poles to station No. 93_on James Gordon Land; thence N. 21° 53' W. 13.96 poles to station No. 94 on Stilwell's land; thence N. 19° 06' W 32.00 poles to station No. 95 on Gabel's thence N. 14° 49' W 11.98 poles to station No.-96 at edge of lane; .thence N: 19° 03' W. 10.26 poles to station No. 97 in Lane; th nce N. 6° 17' E. 11.54 poles to station No. 98 in Gabel's land; thence N. 23° 49' E. 11.08 poles to station No. 99 in edge of Gabel's lane; thence N. 44° 14' E. 9.36 pols to station No. 100 in center of public road leading to St. Clairsville, from which station a double walnut tree, bears N. 12° 05' W. 122 links and section stone bears S. 00° 27' W. 104 links. Length of road equlas 1,282.80 rods which equals 4004 Miles.

I CERTEFY that the above is a correct plat and return of nthe survey of the proposed County Road named above.

John A. Bond County Surveyor.

ROAD PETITION.

For v	ACATION	Road in WAYN	IF MOWNIGHTD
		•	
100	missioners of Belmont Cou	28	
•	ersigned residents of the T		
	commencing at the farm_line		
*	g _westerly_to_a point nea		
stands Guide	Board on lands of W. R. Ki	ng has become useless and	no injustice_will be
done to anyon	ne by the vacation of the-s	ame and -they therefore -e	sk-that-the-same-may be
vacated.		19	
×	26. 6 E		
. §	* * * *	ar en en en	toot d
~~ *			s * ⁹
x *		· · · <u></u>	
*			e 8 8 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
<u>.</u> .	e,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· m ²
*	2	ا از کستر ونیات	
	TO SECURE TO SET OFFEE		
#** ***	(See Commissioners Jou	rnsl No. 9, Page 92)	ne une il remeatable contra co
	e execution and the second sec	nomination of the state of the	
A3. B3. P.M.A.	/For Ottool Deliver		
	(For Objectors Petit:	ion see Page 390 this Vol	ume (
報		φ	5,
	රී මේ ගතා	s so so security	<u> </u>
	2	£ .	
	to an efficiency		"
		e a n anan sa e	en av en e
25 2000	~ -		s s se s
35			9
* ·	. s	L L Section Francisco	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			в в
*	22 OF S		
.€ 	*		and the second s
· · · · · ·	9		
:25 7 0 24 8			
(*)		0 s	
(A =)	9 3	e or seem	
e a		9 P	**************************************
to the	**	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	ē.
. W 255 M		a §	
•	~ ×	73 o	s *I
	* i	2 2 F 2 F 5 F 6	q d
Dated thisAu	gust 22, 1905 A.	D. 189	
ETITIONERS' NAMES.	PETITIONERS' NAMES.	PETITIONERS' NAMES.	PETITIONERS' NAMES.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Eugene Steward	W. R. King	. *
	Eli B. Doudna		a a
2	Ared Sutton	John S. Shry William E. Shepherd	

Thomas Yarnell Joseph A. Harris

payment of which well a nistrators, by these presenthisday ofday to the second to the se	day of18: c made to the Board of Commond on the following line, to-
payment of which well a nistrators, by these presenthis day of made to the Road road on the fullace and W. R. Kin	which well and truly to be more these presents. day of
nistrators, by these presenthis day of day of Road road on the fullace and W. R. Kin	these presents. day of188 made to the Board of Commond on the following line, to-
ion has been made to the Road road on the f	made to the Board of Commond on the following line, to- W. R. King
ion has been made to the Road road on the f	made to the Board of Commond on the following line, to- W. R. King
Road road on the f	oad on the following line, to- W. R. King
	The state of the s
	The state of the s
iam Neptune where no	e_where_now
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	- T
g g	· ·
* 3	and the second
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*	
R P	
d County the amount	pro a s
e granted or when the	e amount of all costs and
	ne amount of all costs and e
is obligation to be roid	r when the proceedings had
is obligation to be void,	ne amount of all costs and e r when the proceedings had n to be void; otherwise to be
is obligation to be void,	r when the proceedings had a to be void; otherwise to be
is obligation to be void,	r when the proceedings had
is obligation to be void,	r when the proceedings had a to be void; otherwise to be
is obligation to be void,	r when the proceedings had to be void; otherwise to be
is obligation to be void,	r when the proceedings had a to be void; otherwise to be
d Cour	*

Report of Viewers.

To the Board of Commissioners of Belmont County, Ohio:

	rs appointed under	and were se						
· i	n said order.	ana were se	sverally sworn	o aiscnarge	, jainjang	and impare	any, the auth	es require
0 0	n sauce or wor.	- ;	1=0	19 10m	(#K (#E (#)			
	16 * 5 #	**				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	(a)	(A)
	**	ě		w N	r:	9	183	
	88 848	*			¥7			
	3						**	**
	w WE	99 9		55 555		el u n		
	•2		and				a salacted by 1	ie ae chair
								us ws chan
	rs, and							
	We then proceeded							
	used the same to							
	an be made at a							
ex	cpense which will	$result\ to\ individu$	ials as well as t	he public," l	$by\ the$		of said road	as follows
	 .		220	-		í		
	a [*]	8 8	6.5%	-				
	*	379		(5)				61
	21			.e.	955	٠. ـ		
						¥)		
	8 ×	0 19	₩		2	04 to to		*
	a " =				500	•		
:: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::						, a		
	*	(For Report	of Viewers se	e Page 391	L this vol	ume)	8	
	# 61 U					30 (4) (4))		
	i.	100 F) 9				74 T	a straig	
	2					€.	8	
					F .		A75.9	
	El .		105		38		28 28	
	¥2		140				8	
	i .						S2	
	₫ Si	134		100				
							2	
	n		e 6					
	S						2	
	41 140		98				SAC	
	2:			19	*	9		$\mathcal{H}_{(a)}$
	2		M 100		•	84		9 . €0
	A) 19							
	* • •		S	e	5.000			(9)
		B) (0)	27					
	We want day and a		the eaid			ought	to be	
	We would respectf	E-000 PG 170.00	57		P'	0.502 100	-	
		or the following r	reasons:					*
80 81	8	196) 54	ž į	K) E-			x	E
	. *	Sir.	reaction (in the contraction of	. SK	75 16 °	v.		
			n a z				78	
	NE 2	9	ř.		e		04	
	8 a (a)	25			e	g (#45		
467	* ; * *		*			# IMM	¥i.	
	±							
	We have also deter	rmined that the	public conveni-	ence requir	es that suc	h		sha
	ablished				, J 18		•	
	which is respectf		R		enne M		5 2	1
,	vo 100p000j	}	-					
		189	<u> </u>	27 L X				Viewei
	act sub-	8		36	Maria Caraca Car	90	Sec)
	70 Đ	100		EES.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		*	.com 574.004500 400
		Viewer,	days, \$	ļ			nman,da	ys, \$
	2		days, \$	3.50.3.55.50.00000000000000000000000000			· · do	ys, \$
-11						***************************************		0 1 11
		K)			93	guino :		100 m
	,	K)	.days, \$	ļ ·			ker, da	ys, \$

Separate Report of Viewers.

N	The second secon	ž	petitioned for by	<u> </u>
ersons enti	make the following separa		mpensation and damages ass	
	itled thereto. The parties	s named below ho	ive filed their written applica	tions for the said allowe
ccording to	law, and the same are h	ereto appended ar	nd returned with this report.	
Compe	ensation for land taken for	or said road has b	een awarded in the following	sums:
o	**			
		_	* *	(m)
		V:	, Xn,	
)			*	<i>Do</i>
)		····		Do
)			3	```Do
				Do
				30 E 10 E
	•		(a)	
		8		
				2
	12		* .	2 ² 9
			10 %	61 381
	*			E
Fach o	f the above sums has been	n accessed withou	t deduction for herefits to an	u nuonautu of the owner a
			t deduction for benefits to any	y property of the owner, i
uld[accru]	e by the opening of said r	oad.	*	res gr
The fol	llowing sums are allowed	as damages to be	paid to claimants whose prem	ises will be rendered les.
ble by the	opening and construction	of said road thro	ugh the same:	*
		G		
			9	
		ranno accessor accessor accessor accessor accessor.		

				Doi:
	¥			
				Past 1
	36 36			E
	5		8	. 1
		8 K		Viewers and Ju
			* 1	award dama
			# · ·	3
	e			
e e	e e	189		
	K	189		
e e	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	189	-•••	
		189		
			St. Clairsville, O.,	189
The Co	mmissioners met in regul	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5.±
20		lar session, and to	he report of the Surveyor and	5.±
10	mmissioners met in regul road, as described in the	lar session, and to	he report of the Surveyor and	5.±
10		lar session, and to	he report of the Surveyor and	5.±
20	road, as described in the	lar session, and to	he report of the Surveyor and	Viewers appointed to su
40	road, as described in the	lar session, and to	he report of the Surveyor and	5.±
40	road, as described in the	lar session, and to	he report of the Surveyor and	Viewers appointed to su
40	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	$\left\{ ar\ session,\ and\ to above\ petition,\ wonds \right\}$	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time.	Viewers appointed to su
**	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	lar session, and to	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time.	Viewers appointed to su
10	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	$\left\{ ar\ session,\ and\ to above\ petition,\ wonds \right\}$	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time.	Viewers appointed to su
10	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	$\left\{ ar\ session,\ and\ to above\ petition,\ wonds \right\}$	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time.	Viewers appointed to su
l view the	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	$\left\{ ar\ session,\ and\ to above\ petition,\ wo$	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time. St. Clairsville, O.,	Commissioners.
l view the	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	lar session, and t above petition, was	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time. St. Clairsville, O.,	Commissioners.
l view the	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	lar session, and t above petition, was	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time. St. Clairsville, O.,	Commissioners.
l view the	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor.	lar session, and t above petition, was	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time. St. Clairsville, O.,	Commissioners.
d view the	road, as described in the ATTEST: County Auditor. mmissioners met in regulation road, as described in the	lar session, and t above petition, was	he report of the Surveyor and is read the first time. St. Clairsville, O.,	Commissioners.

(See C. J. Vol. 9. Page 109)

St. Clairsville, O., December 4th, 1905

The Commissioners met in regular session, and W. R. King et al having presented their petition in the above entitled matter on October 2nd, 1905, and J. E. Wallace et al, Objectors, having filed their petition on October 16th, 1905, against the vacation of said road, and J. I. Lewis, Joseph Douglass and W. P. Moore, having been appointed viewers in said matter and having performed their duties as required by law, this day filed their report as follows:

To the honorable Commissioners of Belmont County, Ohio:

We, John I. Lewis, William P. Moore, and Jeseph Douglass, viewers appointed by your Board to vacate or reject the proposed vacation of a County Road, Commencing at the farm line between James and Malinda Wallace and W. R. King; thence running westerly to a point near the residence of William Neptune, where now stands guide Board on the lands of W. R. King, in Wayne Township, Belmont County, Ohio.

After viewing said road and considerating its location and proximity to the new County Road petitioned for by J. F. Wilcox, et al now opened, we have decided the above described road should be vacated for the following reasons; viz;

First;—That public utility does not require the maintenance of both roads;

Second:—That the public travel will go over the new road with preference to the old one except in case of an ice gorge;

Third:—That no injustice will be done to the general public by the vacation of said read except as heretofore mentioned.

Viewers sworn,

John A. Bond, N. P. John Ira Lewis
J. H. Douglass
W. P. Moore,

John Ira Lewis	2 Days	\$3.00
J. H. Douglass	1 "	1.50
W. P. Moore	1 "	1.50
John A. Bond	1 "	5.00
Total		\$11.00

Te viewers having reported in favor of the vacation of said road, an the Board being of the opinion that the same is just and reasonable, it is ordered that so much of the County Road as lies between the farm line of James and Melinda Wallace and W. R. King, thence running westerly to a point near the residence of William Neptune, where now stands the guide Board on the lands of W. R. King in Wayne Township, be an the same is hereby vacated. It is further ordered that the persons who filed their objections to said vacation, pay the costs of the view, had in pursuance of the same.

Robert McMillen

(ATTEST :

A. W. Beatty County Auditor. J. W. Martin
A. G. Holloway

Commissioners